

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Many-particle systems VIII. Saturation and a lower-bound shell model

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1973 J. Phys. A: Math. Nucl. Gen. 6 610 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0301-0015/6/5/006)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.73 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 04:44

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# Many-particle systems VIII. Saturation and a lower-bound shell model

#### G M Horton

Department of Physics, Chelsea College, University of London, London SW6, UK

MS received 14 September 1972

Abstract. The lower-bound shell model developed by Carr and Post is applied to a certain class of non-local two-body interactions and shows saturation. The case of the Yamaguchi interaction is worked out in detail.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we prove that the lower-bound shell model established in paper VI (Carr and Post 1968) shows saturation for a certain class of non-local two-body interactions. These interactions are constructed in such a way that the two-body system has at most a finite number of bound states.

A specific instance of a non-local two-body interaction is worked out in detail. The two-body interaction is non-local, factorizable, and acts in S states only. In order to use the lower-bound shell model the total interaction must be expressible as a sum of two-body terms and is not factorizable itself. This particular example was used to discuss the deuteron by Yamaguchi (1954) and the three-nucleon problem by Mitra (1962, 1963).

#### 2. Formulation of the problem

The N particle hamiltonian of the exact problem is

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{P_i^2}{2m} + \sum_{i < j=1}^{N} V_{ij}$$

where *m* is the mass of each particle and the *i*th particle has momentum vector  $P_i$ . The two-body interaction for particles *i* and *j*,  $V_{ij}$ , is translation invariant and spin independent. The last restriction is not essential but simplifies the discussion. In the momentum representation  $V_{ij}$  is defined by

$$V_{ij}\psi(\boldsymbol{P}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{P}_N)=\int V(\boldsymbol{P}_i-\boldsymbol{P}_j;\boldsymbol{P}_i'-\boldsymbol{P}_j)\prod_{k\neq i,j}\delta(\boldsymbol{P}_k-\boldsymbol{P}_k')\psi(\boldsymbol{P}_1',\ldots,\boldsymbol{P}_N')\,\mathrm{d}\tau'.$$

 $\psi(P_1, \ldots, P_N)$  is a translation invariant function of 3(N-1) relative momenta and  $d\tau'$  is the volume element of the 3(N-1) dimensional momentum space. The function V is the same for all pairs of particles and the product of the delta functions ensures that

there is only interaction between particles i and j. Since the derivation of the lowerbound shell model involves letting the mass of particle 1 tend to infinity, it is important to note that there is no explicit dependence on the mass of each particle in the definition of  $V_{ij}$ .

The derivation of the lower-bound shell model in paper VI remains valid in the momentum representation and, since in our H the only explicit dependence on the mass of each particle is in the kinetic energy terms, we get a shell-model hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}$ 

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=2}^{N} h_i$$
$$h_i = \frac{\boldsymbol{P}_i^2}{2m} + \frac{N}{2} V_{1i}.$$

In the lower bound shell model particle one is fixed and the other (N-1) particles interact only with particle one by the one-body interaction  $V_{1j}$ . The lower bound to the ground state energy  $E_0$  of the original N particle problem is given, in the case of fermions, by putting a particle in each state of the shell model. If  $\epsilon_i$  are the energies of the states of particles moving in the field of particle one then

$$|E_0| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} |\epsilon_i|.$$

The sum is over the lowest (N-1) states.

If the  $\epsilon_i$  are such that  $\lim_{N\to\infty} |\epsilon_i|/N$  is non-infinite, and if the number of bound states of the one-body problem does not exceed some fixed integer (n, say) then  $\lim_{N\to\infty} |E_0|/N$  is non-infinite.

On the other hand, the  $\lim_{N\to\infty} |E_0|/N$  can be assumed to be nonzero since  $E_0$  will be bounded from above by the energy of  $\frac{1}{2}N$  independent pairs of particles and we may suppose the two-body problem to be bound.

In the next section we consider one-body potentials that have the desired properties. Thus, we will have shown that the lower-bound shell model shows saturation in the sense that  $\lim_{N\to\infty} |E_0|/N$  is finite.

### 3. One-body problems with at most *n* bound states

The one-particle Schrödinger wave equation in the momentum representation is

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{P}^2}{2m}\psi(\boldsymbol{P}) + \int V(\boldsymbol{P};\boldsymbol{P}') \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{P}'\psi(\boldsymbol{P}') = E\psi(\boldsymbol{P}).$$

The interaction must satisfy the usual invariance requirements and be hermitian

$$V(\boldsymbol{P};\boldsymbol{P}') = V^*(\boldsymbol{P}';\boldsymbol{P}).$$

We now consider those functions  $V(\mathbf{P}; \mathbf{P})$  that can be written in the form

$$V(\boldsymbol{P}; \boldsymbol{P}') = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f_i(\boldsymbol{P}) g_i(\boldsymbol{P}')$$

where the  $\lambda_i$  are numbers and the  $f_i(\mathbf{P})$  are *n* linearly independent functions. The  $g_i(\mathbf{P}')$  are related to the  $f_i(\mathbf{P})$  by the condition of hermiticity.

The wave equation is now

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{P}^2}{2m}\psi(\boldsymbol{P}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i a_i f_i(\boldsymbol{P}) = E\psi(\boldsymbol{P})$$

where

$$a_i = \int g_i(\mathbf{P}') \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}'\psi(\mathbf{P}').$$

The solution is

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{P}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} a_{i} \frac{f_{i}(\boldsymbol{P})}{E - \boldsymbol{P}^{2}/2m}.$$

 $\psi(\mathbf{P})$  is a linear combination of at most *n* linearly-independent functions and there can therefore be at most *n* linearly-independent solutions of the Schrödinger wave equation. It is necessary to impose conditions on the  $f_i(\mathbf{P})$  and  $g_i(\mathbf{P})$  such that the integrals defining the  $a_i$  exist and  $\psi(\mathbf{P})$  be square integrable. If we choose  $V(\mathbf{P}; \mathbf{P}')$  to be a square integrable, symmetric function of  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{P}'$  then it may be expanded in a doubly orthogonal series

$$V(\boldsymbol{P};\boldsymbol{P}') = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i f_i(\boldsymbol{P}) f_i(\boldsymbol{P}')$$

where the  $\lambda_i$  are real numbers and the  $f_i(\mathbf{P})$  are members of an orthonormal set of squareintegrable functions (see eg Coleman 1963). The number of terms in the sum is unique if it is finite even though the  $f_i(\mathbf{P})$  are not unique. The solution  $\psi(\mathbf{P})$  of the Schrödinger wave equation corresponds to a bound state for some values of the  $\lambda_i$  and m.

A special case of the above is

$$V(\boldsymbol{P}; \boldsymbol{P}') = -\lambda f(|\boldsymbol{P}|) f(|\boldsymbol{P}'|)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a real number and f is a real function. This interaction acts in S states only since  $\int f(|\mathbf{P}'|)\psi(\mathbf{P}') d\mathbf{P}'$  vanishes unless  $\psi(\mathbf{P}')$  has no dependence on the orientation of  $\mathbf{P}'$ . It is also a factorizable interaction. The one-body problem has then at most one bound energy level. The solution of the Schrödinger wave equation is

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{P}) = -\frac{a\lambda f(|\boldsymbol{P}|)}{E - \boldsymbol{P}^2/2m}.$$

For a bound state E is negative and so

$$\psi(\mathbf{P}) = \frac{a\lambda f(|\mathbf{P}|)}{\mathbf{P}^2/2m + |E|}$$
$$a = \int f(|\mathbf{P}'|)\psi(\mathbf{P}') \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}' = a\lambda \int \frac{f^2(|\mathbf{P}'|) \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{P}'}{\mathbf{P}'^2/2m + |E|},$$

therefore

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \int \frac{f^2(|\boldsymbol{P}'|) \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{P}'}{\boldsymbol{P}'^2/2m + |\boldsymbol{E}|}.$$

The last relation can be solved to find |E| in terms of  $\lambda$ . One can obtain |E| proportional to  $\lambda$ , for large  $\lambda$ , by choosing  $f(|\mathbf{P}|)$  suitably.

## 4. The Yamaguchi interaction and the lower-bound shell model

We choose for  $f(|\mathbf{P}|)$  the form used by Yamaguchi

$$f(|\boldsymbol{P}|) = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{P}^2 + \beta^2}$$

where  $\beta$  is a constant.

The energy of the bound level is given by

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} = \int \frac{4\pi P^2 \, \mathrm{d}P}{(P^2 + \beta^2)(P^2/2m + |E|)} \qquad P = |P|$$

After integration we find

$$|E|\beta^2 = \left(\sqrt{(\lambda\pi^2\beta)} - \frac{\beta^2}{\sqrt{(2m)}}\right)^2.$$

In the shell model the parameter  $\lambda$  is changed to  $\lambda N/2$  so that the only bound energy level has energy  $\epsilon_0$ 

$$|\epsilon_0|\beta^2 = \left(\sqrt{(\frac{1}{2}\lambda N\pi^2\beta)} - \frac{\beta^2}{\sqrt{(2m)}}\right)^2.$$

If the particles are fermions we may put two particles in each level or, if nucleons, we may put four particles in each level and we then have  $E_0 \ge \gamma \epsilon_0$  with  $\gamma = 2$  or 4,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{|E_0|}{N}\leqslant \lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\gamma|\epsilon_0|}{N}=\frac{\gamma\lambda\pi^2}{2\beta}.$$

We also have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{|E_0|}{N} \ge \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\lambda \pi^2 \beta} - \frac{\beta^2}{\sqrt{m}} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\beta^2}.$$

This latter estimate is given by considering N/2 independent pairs of particles. Thus the shell model shows saturation.

This lower bound may be a poor approximation to the exact value for this interaction. One indication that the result will not be a good approximation for all values of  $\lambda$ ,  $\beta$  and m is the fact that the lower bound for the energy per particle contains no dependence on m when we proceed to the limit  $N = \infty$  whereas one would expect that as m was decreased the system would become unbound for some finite value of mindependent of  $N^{\dagger}$ .

#### 5. Conclusion

It has been shown that the lower-bound shell model may be used to discuss saturation for a class of non-local interactions and, in particular, that the choice of the Yamaguchi interaction leads to saturation.

† We are grateful to Dr R Huby for pointing out this latter fact.

# Acknowledgments

The author thanks Professor H R Post for criticism of the manuscript and encouragement.

# References

Carr R J M and Post H R 1968 J. Phys. A: Gen. Phys. 1 596-609 Coleman A J 1963 Rev. mod. Phys. 35 668-89 Mitra A N 1962 Nucl. Phys. 32 529-42 Yamaguchi Y 1954 Phys. Rev. 95 1628-34